

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD005-2025-A
<b>Release Date:</b> 04/25/2025	<b>Page:</b> i of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2025 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet – Preview Release	



**RELEASE DATE: 04/25/2025**

**COSMIC-E01-WD005-2025-A**

# **COSMIC**

## **CONSORTIUM FOR SPACE MOBILITY AND IN-SPACE SERVICING, ASSEMBLY AND MANUFACTURING (ISAM) CAPABILITIES**

### **2025-26 COSMIC CAPSTONE CHALLENGE (C3) INFORMATION PACKET**

The COSMIC Capstone Challenge is presented to college students who are invited to develop conceptual missions & designs for spacecraft operations in orbit or on the lunar surface. Four challenge tracks are offered in 2025-26: (1) Orbital Manufacturing and Assembly; (2) Lunar Operations; (3) Orbital Servicing; and (4) In-Space Assembly.

## **PREVIEW RELEASE**

This preview of the C3 Info Packet is being released to allow universities to plan for 2025-26. While it contains most information, there are gaps in what is included. Dates and details are subject to change. The initial release of the completed C3 Info Packet is expected in July 2025.



<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD005-2025-A
<b>Release Date:</b> 04/25/2025	<b>Page:</b> iii of 29
<b>Title: 2025 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet – Preview Release</b>	

## Contents

1.	Executive Summary: The 2025-26 COSMIC Capstone Challenge .....	1
2.	Description .....	1
3.	Track 1 Challenge: Orbital Manufacturing & Assembly (C3-Manufacturing).....	3
3.1	Definitions .....	3
3.2	Elements of the Conceptual Design .....	4
3.3	Motivation .....	4
4.	Track 2 Challenge: Lunar Operations (C3-Lunar).....	4
4.1	Definitions .....	5
4.2	Elements of the Conceptual Design .....	5
4.3	Motivation .....	5
5.	Track 3 Challenge: Orbital Servicing (C3-Servicing).....	6
5.1	Definitions .....	6
5.2	Elements of the Conceptual Design .....	7
5.3	Motivation .....	8
6.	Track 4 Challenge: In-Space Assembly (C3-Assembly) .....	8
6.1	Definitions .....	8
6.2	Elements of the Conceptual Design .....	9
6.3	Motivation .....	10
7.	Evaluation Criteria .....	10
7.1	Deliverables.....	10
7.2	Guidance for Judging .....	11
8.	Timeline .....	11
8.1	Key dates for universities & other educational institutions.....	11
8.2	Key Dates for Students (week of) .....	12
8.3	Key milestones & recommended completion dates for student teams .....	12
8.4	Key dates for organizers, mentors, judges, and sponsors.....	12
8.5	Recurring events.....	12
9.	Expectations .....	13
9.1	Expectations for students .....	13
9.2	Expectations for professors & other academic advisors (or team lead for clubs, etc.).....	13
9.3	Expectations for mentors.....	13
9.4	Expectations for C3 committee .....	13
9.5	Expectations for judges .....	14
10.	Reference Documents .....	15
10.1	General References .....	15
10.2	References for Challenge Track 1 (C3-Manufacturing).....	16
10.3	References for C3-Lunar .....	17
10.4	References for C3-Servicing .....	17
10.5	References for C3-Assembly.....	17
11.	Vision For the Future of the COSMIC Capstone Challenge.....	18
12.	Administrative Items.....	19
13.	COSMIC Capstone Challenge 2024 .....	20

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD005-2025-A
<b>Release Date:</b> 04/25/2025	<b>Page:</b> iv of 29
<b>Title: 2025 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet – Preview Release</b>	

14. Frequently Asked Questions & Updates .....	25
--	----

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 1 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

## 1. Executive Summary: The 2025-26 COSMIC Capstone Challenge

The COSMIC Capstone Challenge is presented to college students who are invited to develop conceptual missions & designs for spacecraft operations in orbit or on the lunar surface. Four challenge tracks are offered in 2025-26: (1) Orbital Manufacturing and Assembly; (2) Lunar Operations; (3) Orbital Servicing; (4) In-Space Assembly.

## 2. Description

**The Consortium for Space Mobility and ISAM Capabilities** (COSMIC) was established in 2023 by NASA in response address elements of the national strategy for in-space servicing, assembly, and manufacturing (ISAM). One COSMIC focus area is Workforce Development, which includes inspiring the next generation of engineers, artists, and visionaries to contribute to ISAM. The COSMIC Capstone Challenge (C3) is a design competition taking place over the course of an academic year for students of US-based colleges and universities and can be adapted for a variety of in-class and out-of-class options.

The competition is open to teams of students from any **US-based college or university**. Many of the entrants will be seniors as part of their senior design class, often known as Capstone. The project can also be pursued by students pursuing independent study, as a team of students in a lab, or a student club. Teams can also be formed across universities. The challenge statement for each of the four tracks are listed below.

### **Track 1 Challenge: Orbital Manufacturing and Assembly (C3-Manufacturing)**

Design a payload, to be hosted aboard Arkisys' Bosuns Locker, that would demonstrate a chain of 3 or more discrete operations providing a capability important for orbital manufacturing or assembly.

### **Track 2 Challenge: Lunar Operations (C3-Lunar)**

Design a payload, to be delivered by the Blue Ghost lunar lander, that will demonstrate a chain of 3 or more operations that provide an ISAM capability important for lunar surface operations and build a prototype to demonstrate key elements.

### **Track 3 Challenge: Orbital Servicing (C3-Servicing)**

Design a modular and maintainable spacecraft, capable of autonomously servicing multiple client satellites, to provide critical servicing functions. The spacecraft can be designed around platforms such as ESPASat, or other practical, existent spacecraft that can accommodate the necessary servicing features, like robotic arms, refueling ports, grappling stations, etc.

### **Track 4 Challenge: In-Space Assembly (C3-Assembly)**

Design orbital or surface infrastructure element(s) and outline the mission describing its construction using autonomous robotic assembly technologies. Teams should leverage previous work in modular building blocks and "builder robots" as referenced; teams may choose Project ARMADAS or other types of building blocks and robotic systems to address their mission needs.

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 2 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

The goal for each challenge is to engage in **the early design work necessary for a complex ISAM mission** that could be ready for launch near the end of the decade. It is explicitly a Conceptual Design challenge, distinguishing it from competitions that focus on building a product for demonstration or creating detailed design work sufficient to begin manufacturing. These concepts are the starting point for ambitious satellite missions. Some of these concepts may be continued post-competition, either by the entrants themselves or other entities (academic, industrial, or government) to fund further development. Mentors, judges, speakers, and other COSMIC members will provide guidance on how to further extend their work.

The C3 competition begins in April as this Information Packet is released, and when universities are encouraged to register their interest. Teams are encouraged to sign-up early as **registration closes after 100 teams have registered and no later than October 14**. After registering, teams will be assigned a mentor from industry, government, or a nonprofit research institution engaged with ISAM. Mentors will provide guidance to the students through weekly meetings, identifying problems and guiding students toward solutions. While recommended milestones are listed the only required deliverable is the final outbrief in mid-April at the C3 Final Showcase accompanied by a technical paper. The judge's scores will be based almost entirely on the 24-minute out brief (with 4 minutes reserved for questions), so crispness of presentation is essential. The presentations will be mostly virtual with an in-person option likely at on or more locations.

C3 was developed in response to the **national ISAM strategy calling for development orbital manufacturing capabilities** across the country and promoting **workforce development**. Engineers have worked to identify and advance technologies important for orbital manufacturing. Many technologies have been demonstrated terrestrially and on-orbit, with more in the works. These technology advances pave the way for larger, more complex payloads which are in the early stages of development as follow-on work. Conceptually designing those payloads is the 2025-26 C3 topic. This project does not stand alone. The hope is that some of these projects develop into funded missions, and the successful missions help pave the way for the future of manufacturing in space. For more information, visit: <https://COSMICSpace.org/C3> or contact [C3-COSMIC@aero.org](mailto:C3-COSMIC@aero.org).

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 3 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

### 3. Track 1 Challenge: Orbital Manufacturing & Assembly (C3-Manufacturing)

Design a payload, to be hosted aboard Arkisys Bosun's Locker, that would demonstrate a chain of 3 or more discrete operations providing a capability important for orbital manufacturing or assembly.

#### 3.1 Definitions

- An **operation** is defined as an action or set of actions performed by a single device. Examples of operations are listed below.
  - Polymer extrusion
  - Moving a part
  - Generating or receiving a diagnostic signal
  - Cutting, bending, grabbing, and dispensing
  - Determining minimum distance between two satellites in proximity
- A **capability** is a chain of operations that, when performed together, perform a useful function. Examples of capabilities are listed below.
  - Extruding polymer, moving the nozzle on a gantry, and removing finished part from a build plate
  - Generating an ultrasound signal without contact, listening for the signal after it passes by an inspection target, and interpreting that signal to evaluate the target part
  - More broadly, operations could be inspections, manufacturing, assembly, or other tasks necessary for orbital manufacturing.
- The **Bosuns Locker**
  - Available volume: 15.75” x 15.75” x 35.45”
  - Payload mass capability: 400 kg
  - Peak available power: 1000W
  - Sustained available power: 300W
  - CAD drawings will be available
- Autonomous means it should operate on its own with limited remote commands
  - Since the phrase “limited remote commands” is subject to interpretation, teams should describe and justify their expected level of remote interaction. Initiating a sequence, confirming alignment before operation, interrupting an operation or proceeding to the next step are clear examples of “limited remote commands.”

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 4 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

### 3.2 Elements of the Conceptual Design

- The payload should be designed for the Arkisys Bosun’s Locker.
- It needs to demonstrate three or more operations to demonstrate a capability important for orbital manufacturing.
- The payload should be designed to operate semi-autonomously with limited remote commands
- Key design elements
  - The payload design should consider the entire mission lifecycle starting from launch.
  - Consideration should be given to known loads and environmental factors; this includes operating in vacuum, operating in microgravity, how it will be operated, and designing to survive launch loads.
  - The team should describe the expected operating duration.
  - The design should be captured using CAD software that can display essential views required to explain the process and concept of operations for this design.
  - A rough bill of goods required to build and integrate the payload should be included in the C3 Final Showcase presentation.
  - Analysis is required to sufficiently determine if a design is feasible, can survive launch, can operate successfully in a LEO environment, and can meet the Arkisys Bosun’s Locker specifications.

### 3.3 Motivation

The Bosuns Locker is a part of Arkisys’ on orbit Port Architecture for hosted payloads and In-space Servicing, Assembly, and Manufacturing (ISAM). The Arkisys Port Module enables experiments, replacement spacecraft components, and ISAM modules to be attached and detached via physical interfaces post launch). The Port Module is a long duration spacecraft platform that supplies data, power, thermal, momentum and other services for up to 60 payloads at any one time, in various orbits. The Port Module includes robotics to enable payloads to be manipulated, moved and even modified through physical connectable interfaces. The Bosun’s Lockers are enclosures built to have physical interfaces that both “connect” to the Port Module and can be manipulated by the onboard robotic arm to move around as needed.

Payload developers who do not want to build their own containment for their payload or experimental enclosure can use the Arkisys Bosuns Locker as a modular payload containment unit. The Bosuns Locker is designed to focus developers on their specific payload, which not only encapsulates their payload through electrical and structural modularity but enables in-space manipulation compatible with the Arkisys Port architecture for post launch connectivity. The Bosuns Locker design includes sensors to support monitor a payloads performance over time and can be placed on any face or surface of the Port Module as needed for experimental needs.

## 4. Track 2 Challenge: Lunar Operations (C3-Lunar)

Design a payload, to be delivered by the Blue Ghost lunar lander, that will demonstrate a chain of 3 or more operations that provide an ISAM capability important for lunar surface operations and build a prototype to demonstrate key elements.



<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 5 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

## 4.1 Definitions

- An **operation** is defined as an action or set of actions performed by a single device. Examples of operations are listed below.
  - Regolith sifting
  - Moving a part
  - Generating or receiving a diagnostic signal
  - Cutting, bending, grabbing, and dispensing
- A **capability** is a chain of operations that, when performed together, perform a useful function. Examples of capabilities are listed below.
  - Collecting regolith, putting it into a sifter, and collecting different types/ sizes of material
  - Moving to a prescribed destination, collecting a component via transfer, and move it to storage
- Blue Ghost (or other selected lunar lander)
  - This will be completed in the final release in July
- Prototype
  - A hardware assembly that demonstrates one or more key elements of the proposal
  - The prototype may focus on the novel elements of the design or the whole thing
  - Prototypes should be functional but do not need to be designed to survive launch or the operating environment
  - Prototypes will be evaluated based on function, scale, completeness, and degree of difficulty

## 4.2 Elements of the Conceptual Design

- The payload should be designed fit within the Blue Ghost lander
- It needs to demonstrate three or more operations to demonstrate a capability important for lunar operations
- The payload may be designed with various levels of human engagement. That could include full autonomy with limited remote commands, remote operation, local operation by an on-surface astronaut, or cooperative operation with an astronaut.
- Key design elements
  - The payload design should consider the entire mission lifecycle starting from launch.
  - Consideration should be given to known loads and environmental factors; this includes operating in vacuum, operating in lunar gravity, how it will be operated, and designing to survive launch and landing loads.
  - The team should describe the expected operating duration.
  - The design should be captured using CAD software that can display essential views required to explain the process and concept of operations for this design.
  - A rough bill of goods required to build and integrate the payload should be included in the C3 Final Showcase presentation.
  - Analysis is required to sufficiently determine if a design is feasible, can survive launch, can operate successfully in a lunar environment, and can meet the Blue Ghost specifications.

## 4.3 Motivation

This will be complete for the final release in July.

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 6 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

## 5. Track 3 Challenge: Orbital Servicing (C3-Servicing)

Design a modular and maintainable spacecraft, capable of autonomously servicing multiple client satellites, to provide critical servicing functions. The spacecraft can be designed around platforms such as ESPASat, or other practical, existent spacecraft that can accommodate the necessary servicing features, like robotic arms, refueling ports, grapple stations, etc. Consideration can also be given to an orbiting persistent platform as a base where a client satellite would come to be repaired, upgraded, refueled, or be attached to temporarily, with the intent to extend their operational lifespan, restore functionality, or enable mission flexibility with enhancements. The servicing platform should integrate cutting-edge automation, robotics, or repair technologies to provide hands-free servicing solutions. A successful challenge response will define the baseline spacecraft with emphasis on minimal complexity, yet maximum capability to adapt to any client servicing need.

### 5.1 Definitions

- A **modular** spacecraft is one that has design features that are plug and play, and can be assembled with modern day advanced manufacturing techniques, in order to allow for ease in modifications to its baseline configuration, if required for multiple missions
  - Designed for ready integration with other spacecraft via use of standard interfaces
  - Sized to easily be packaged within standard launch vehicle parameters
  - Maximizes the use of off the shelf components or subsystems
- A **maintainable** spacecraft has one or more of the following characteristics
  - Able to accept multi-missions so it can be reused many times for different clients
  - Designed for upgraded sensors and components dependent on the mission requirements
  - Is able to be refueled, and able to refuel client satellites
  - Has a robotic feature to be able to replace parts prone to wear or failure, such as batteries or solar panels, or can upgrade subsystems like flight computers for edge processing
  - Has chemical or electric propulsion capability (or a hybrid/ both) to move to the client satellite in a particular orbit (LEO, MEO, HEO, GEO, cis lunar, or a combination)
- **Autonomous** servicing is a well-defined spacecraft design that does not rely on man-in-the-loop to complete its operation, but rather has the software sufficient to identify, diagnose, and execute a servicing function
- **Client satellite** is one that is in need of a servicing function while in orbit. Note that is could be one that is already in orbit, or one that is yet to launch where the service requirement is built into the design and therefore will rely on the capability to be there at a later date
- **Critical servicing functions** include but are not limited to 1) refueling via propellant transfer or swap of propulsion tanks, 2) physical interactions to “nudge” or release hung up deployables such as antennas and solar arrays, 3) space situational awareness inspections via fly by and proximity observations, 4) docking, 5) carrier vehicle for debris removal

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 7 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

concepts requiring a controllable maneuvering base, 6) augmentation of clients by replacing damaged or obsolete avionic instruments/components, 7) mylar removal and replacement, 8) surgical robotics for high precision, 9) repositioning of the client to a different orbit, 10) depot for parts readily available.

- **ESPAS<sup>tar</sup>** is an existing proven multi item platform that utilizing an ESPA ring to carry a predefined set of smaller payloads and components on a single mission, that interfaces with the launch vehicle and fits under the constraints of the shroud.
- **Cutting edge technologies** are those that are either state of the art, or those that are under development for adoption in the next few years. Students are encouraged to “push the limits” on what could be considered cutting edge.

## 5.2 Elements of the Conceptual Design

- The resulting spacecraft will include well thought out selected components, equipment and sensors to accurately perform the operations required, in proximity of the client satellite.
- Requirements and functions of each subsystem should be clearly defined, and the SWAP estimates should be based on realistic assessment of current or future system configurations that are under development.
- The spacecraft will be optimized to fit inside the constraints of a LV shroud of your choice.
- Select and validate a mission area of interest, which will include the chosen orbit, class of client satellite, candidate features to be serviced, what type of devices or tools will be required for that servicing, docking or grappling operations, and other mission parameters needed to execute the mission.
- The cutting-edge tool may include capabilities not yet demonstrated on orbit but which would be feasible with a few years of development.
- If the candidate design is a persistent platform, add those features required of the clients to move to the platform and include those unique docking requirements.
- Identify the chosen robotic features and assess needed fidelity of the system, which may include a surgical robotic capability.
- The candidate features to be serviced should include at least two of the critical servicing function shown above and should be chosen based on a systems engineering perspective of tradeoffs. For example, is refueling better to transfer propellant through a port, or just replace a tank? Is it easier to repair a damaged solar array, or attach a new ROSA (roll out solar array) over the existing one? What attachment mechanisms are optimal for a low risk approach to connecting an orbital replacement unit (ORU) to the client?
- Key design elements
  - The concept design should consider the entire mission lifecycle starting from launch through mission success and data retrieval.
  - Consideration should be given to known loads and environmental factors including operating in vacuum and in micro gravity, temp variations in orbit, surviving micro meteorite impacts, radiation, ESD, outgassing, thruster plume deposition, atomic oxygen effects, and designing to survive launch loads.
  - Consider manufacturability, adaptability, and accessibility for servicing.
  - Evaluate the economics of the potential missions. Does the business case close for servicing a particular satellite problem, vs just putting up a new one?

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 8 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

### 5.3 Motivation

Satellites are expensive. The cost to replace a GEO satellite can easily reach \$500M or more. Most satellites become obsolete because their sensors or components age out or fail, or their available propellant is expended. Typically a satellite has potential residual use after its baseline mission, so extending the applications is warranted. Servicing is the means to reutilize, or extend, or change that mission, at a fraction of the cost of a new one- and at a fraction of the time to design, build and put that new one on orbit.

## 6. Track 4 Challenge: In-Space Assembly (C3-Assembly)

Design orbital or surface infrastructure element(s) and outline the mission describing its construction using autonomous robotic assembly technologies. Teams should leverage previous work in modular building blocks and “builder robots” as referenced; teams may choose Project ARMADAS or other types of building blocks and robotic systems to address their mission needs.

### 6.1 Definitions

- Orbital Infrastructure is any system or asset in orbit that provides capabilities or services for users. Examples include:
  - Spacecraft refueling
  - Persistent platform
  - Mars transport
  - Spaceport
  - More...
- Surface infrastructure is any system or asset on a planetary surface that provides capabilities or services for users. Examples include:
  - Tower for power or communications
  - Shelters
  - Berms and landing pads
  - More...
- Mission is defined as the development, execution, and operation of an activity to achieve a goal.
- Robotic construction is the process of building an asset that is performed by robotic agents. This can include excavation, material logistics, manufacturing, assembly and more.
- Robotic assembly is the process of aligning and joining pre-designed elements together using robotic agents to build a functional structure.
- Building blocks are modular structural units that are connected together to create a larger structure. Some examples of building blocks are listed below:
  - ARMADAS voxel: a cuboctahedron shaped building block that has four reversible connections on each of the faces.
  - Tri truss: a truss building block that can be used to build curved structures.
  - Tall lunar tower: a truss assembly system for building towers.

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 9 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

- Sandbags: a building block consisting of a bag filled with regolith (or other material) that can be stacked to create a structure.
- Robotic agents are electro-mechanical systems that are used to automate the construction process. Some examples of robot technologies are listed below:
  - Fixed robot arm: a robot arm that can manipulate materials and perform tasks using end effectors
  - Transport robot: a robotic agent that carries building blocks from a depot to the build front
  - Joining robot: a robotic agent that joins or fastens building blocks together
  - Crane robot: a robotic agent that can lift heavy payloads vertically and provide offloading capabilities
  - Inspection robot: a robotic agent that monitors the health of the structure
  - Outfitting robot: a robotic agent that can install outfitting modules onto the base structure
- Autonomous means it should operate on its own and resolve problems with minimal levels of input. Teams should describe and justify their expected level of autonomy.
- Outfitting means adding a specific capability to the base substructure to support a desired application. Some examples of outfitting are listed below:
  - Utility outfitting:
    - Power and Data Cabling: Electronics can be installed on the substructure to provide power and data transmission.
    - Pipe and ducting: fluid and air transfer systems can be installed to provide needed capability.
  - Functional outfitting:
    - Rail System: Rail modules are installed on the substructure to provide an integrated transportation system.
    - Paneling: Panel modules are installed on the substructure to provide a covered shelter.
    - Surface Interfaces: Footer modules can be installed on the bottom of the substructure to interface with the surface.
- Material sources means where the construction material is manufactured and coming from. This can be from materials sourced from the earth, from supply depots in orbit, or on the moon, or from ISRU materials gathered from local sources.

## 6.2 Elements of the Conceptual Design

- Systems Engineering
  - Goals, needs, and objectives should be clearly described.
  - High level requirements should be included.
  - CONOPS should be included and describe the sequencing of construction, including transport of raw materials.
  - Risks and mitigations should be identified and described.
- Concept design and analysis
  - Include CAD model of the robotically assembled functional structure

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 10 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

- Description of the manufacturing sequence and which tools would be used in construction. If there are additional tools needed to enable construction, describe those.
- Describe the purpose of the structure why it is better to assemble in space (vs. deployable or fit into payload).
- Analysis to assess relevant metrics such as mass efficiency, performance, survivability.
- Analysis for structure and process to perform in the expected environment.
- Describe the joining method you would employ and why you chose it
- Describe how to outfit the non-structural elements into the system (functional modules, power and data routing, fluid transport, etc.)
- Describe the sequencing of the build process in at least 6 steps in lieu of animating entire process.
- Describe how your team would use the in-space resources; if your requirements exceed those described above, then define what's needed to complete your mission
- Describe how are the materials to enable the mission sourced – long distance and local logistics?
- If other steps are required to create a functioning system, describe those steps.

### 6.3 Motivation

Robotic assembly and construction are critical capabilities needed to develop sustainable and scalable infrastructure to enable a persistent presence in space. Challenges related to developing cost effective and economical systems, demonstration of reliability in extreme environments, and mass limitations from launch vehicles have limited our ability to easily scale construction activities in space. Recent advances in autonomous robotic systems, materials, and integrated system designs have enable development of robotic assembly systems that can (1) scale physically and economically, (2) be adaptable and reconfigure to multiple mission scenarios and needs, and (3) have performance characteristics that meet aerospace needs. NASA wants the next generation of explorers to think about how these types of missions and systems are designed and executed to enable a scalable and economical future for space exploration.

## 7. Evaluation Criteria

### 7.1 Deliverables

Top teams in each Challenge Track will be recognized. Any prizes will be announced during Spring Semester 2026.

1. Judging will be based upon a 24-minute briefing that comprises 95% of the score at the C3 Final Showcase
2. A 10–20-page technical paper will account for 5% of the final score

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 11 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

3. There is an optional category for the best functional prototype which does not count toward the final score, and the top prototype entry will be recognized; the prototype is required for C3-Lunar
4. Teams of 10 or more students can tackle any challenge, but are only eligible for prizes in Track 3, C3-Servicing.

## 7.2 Guidance for Judging

The following elements requested below mimics the judging rubric that is provided in this document.

1. The presentation must describe in sufficient detail the design to understand the following:
    1. Technical impact of demonstrated capability.
    2. Feasibility of Prototype mission.
    3. Innovativeness of the payload design.
    4. Adherence to the design requirements.
    5. Identification and mitigation of risks associated with the design.
    6. The recommended path to proceed to a Preliminary Design Review (PDR).
    7. Summary of trade studies that help illustrate the design development.
  2. Describe the Concept of Operations from launch to deorbit:
    1. Create a storyboard of the complete operation.
    2. Animate one or more key operating sequences.
    3. Generate a high-level overview of data handling and ground communications
    4. Document achievement of key milestones
    5. Relate how the successful execution of the proposed mission would advance support the one or more of the high-value missions identified by COSMIC
  3. List key lessons learned during the project:
    1. Three innovate ideas that were shared, even if not pursued.
    2. Three technologies most important to develop for this demo or other ISAM activities.
    3. Three biggest challenges encountered, even if avoided.
  4. The work should be well defined and clearly described
    1. Make a professional, concise, understandable presentation at the C3 Final Showcase
    2. Write a 10–20-page technical paper that would be appropriate for at least an engineering conference, journal, engineering magazine, etc. The deadline for submitting an extended abstract to AIAA SciTech is typically in late May. While optional, it accounts for 5% of the score and builds good experience.
- Opt. There is an **optional** category for the Best Functional Prototype. This is not required and will not be considered in judging the winners, except as a tool to illustrate the Conceptual Design. The team with the best functional prototype will be recognized with a distinct recognition. The prototype is required for C3-Lunar, where it is 20% of the final score.

## 8. Timeline

### 8.1 Key dates for universities & other educational institutions

- April 14, 2025: Preview of C3 information packet released and registration opens
- August 4, 2025: [Preferred registration deadline](https://cosmicspace.org/c3) (to enable C3 organizers to match mentors and teams)
- Registration will close once 100 teams have signed up.

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 12 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

- October 13, 2025: Late registration deadline

## 8.2 Key Dates for Students (week of)

- September 9, 2025: Live on-boarding session for teams and mentors (recorded for later viewing)
- October 13, 2025: Deadline to register your team for the COSMIC Capstone Challenge
- December 8-12, 2025: **Midpoint showcase** and announcement of divisions and possible prizes
- April 13, 2026: **Presentation and judging** at C3 Final Showcase (winners announced the following week)
- Post-event survey must be completed for award eligibility

## 8.3 Key milestones & recommended completion dates for student teams

- Recommended dates based upon 2 semesters spanning 9/3/2025 – 5/2/2026, and may be adjusted for other timeframes
- September 16, 2025: Identify who on the team will serve as program manager
- October 14, 2025: Select operations to form the foundation of the target capability
- November 15, 2025: Present Systems Requirements Review (SRR) to peers, mentor & advisor
- January 13, 2026: Complete trade studies
- March 3, 2026: Present Conceptual Design Review to peers, mentor & advisor
- April 6, 2026: Develop a plan to reach Preliminary Design Review
- May 2026: Submit paper to technical conferences (such as AIAA SciTech Abstracts)

## 8.4 Key dates for organizers, mentors, judges, and sponsors

- April 15, 2025: [Mentor registration opens](#)
- July 2025: Socializing C3 at COSMIC meetings, at ISSRDC, and through university & high school networks
- August 5, 2025: Preferred mentor registration deadline (enable C3 organizers to match mentors & teams)
- Week of September 9, 2025: Live on-boarding session for teams and mentors
- September 30, 2025: Late mentor registration deadline
- October 1, 2025: Judge registration opens
- November 1, 2025: Deadline for sponsors to offer prizes
- Week of December 9, 2025: Midpoint showcase and announcement of categories and any prizes
- February 2026: Send Out Schedule Survey (to begin planning C3 Final Showcase agenda)
- March 2026: Judge registration closes
- March 2026: Establish agenda for presentations & judging assignments
- March-April 2026: Judge orientation/on-boarding
- April 13, 2026: Presentation and judging at C3 Final Showcase (winners announced the following week)
- April 17, 2026: Send out post-event survey to judges, mentors, advisors, and students

## 8.5 Recurring events

- COSMIC will host weekly office hours for ask questions & guidance beginning week of August 19



<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 13 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

- Meet with industry mentors on weekly or biweekly basis
- COSMIC-hosted monthly ISAM seminars

## 9. Expectations

### 9.1 Expectations for students

- Contact mentor to set up weekly or biweekly meetings & act professionally in those interactions
- Read through this entire C3 Information packet at start of project
- Review reference documents
- Reach out to mentors, academic advisors, and C3 committee as appropriate with questions
- Complete all required classwork, even if it is not required for C3
- Address & document key milestones from Timeline page
- Eligibility: all members enrolled at a US-based college or high school
- Complete post-event survey

### 9.2 Expectations for professors & other academic advisors (or team lead for clubs, etc.)

- Sign up for C3 on website by August 5 (preferred) and no later than September 30
- Direct students to contact mentor
- Reach out to C3 committee with questions about the program
- Help students form teams as: part of a class, for a student club, or as independent study
- For design classes, grades based upon the school's own criteria
- Do not use C3 scores as a proxy for grades

### 9.3 Expectations for mentors

- Meet 2-4 hours monthly with students (at least biweekly)
- Serve as the ISAM expert for the students, and direct them to appropriate resources
- Contact C3 committee if there are team issues and with other questions
- Treat the students as junior engineers; encourage them to be innovative
- Do not share export-controlled or proprietary information with teams
- Support, encourage and advise students
- Are not responsible for evaluating individual students or the team
- Complete post-event survey

### 9.4 Expectations for C3 committee

- Provide clear guidelines to all stakeholders
- Be available on a daily basis for email & weekly basis for verbal communications from July 1 onward
- Maintain a compelling program throughout the academic year & incorporate feedback from stakeholders

## Document Markings

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 14 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

### 9.5 Expectations for judges

- Attend judging onboarding brief, which includes overview of ISAM and objective of C3
- Spend 1 or more days listening to presentations & scoring entries
- Do not mentor any of the teams
- Review the C3 Information Packet & score sheets before the C3 Final Showcase

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 15 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

## 10. Reference Documents

These references are mostly a carryover from 2024-25. This will be updated and expanded for the Final Release in July 2025, and will include many more references specific to each track

### 10.1 General References

#### *About ISAM & related technologies*

- COSMIC [ISAM 101](#)
- NASA [ISAM overview](#)
- ISAM Resources & References database (add public link)
- ISAM Education Advocates (add public link)
- COSMIC Lexicon
- ISAM Technology Taxonomy

#### *Technology assessment and development roadmaps – required reading*

- “[In-Space Servicing, Assembly and Manufacturing Strategy](#),” National Science & Technology Council. April 2022
- “[In-space Servicing, Assembly, and Manufacturing \(ISAM\) State of Play](#),” Dr. Dale Arney, John Mulvaney, Christina Williams (NASA Langley Research Center), Dr. Richard Sutherland, Christopher Stockdale (Analytical Mechanics Associates, Inc.)
- “Technology Roadmap for the Development of an Orbital Smallsat Factory,” Matthew B. Obenchain, Jacob Rome, Chris Hartney, Kelvin Chen, Alejandro Trujillo, Arianna Villegas, Vinay Goyal, Jon Strizzi and Deneen Taylor. AIAA 2024-1274. AIAA SCITECH 2024 Forum. January 2024. <https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2024-1274>
- Obenchain 2025

#### *Exemplar ISAM missions*

- [NASA OSAM-1](#)
- [DARPA Orbital Express](#)
- [Northrop Grumman Mission Extension Vehicle](#)
- [Astroscale Refueler](#)

#### *About the COSMIC Capstone Challenge*

- “[Overview of the ISAM Design Challenge and Competition](#),” Jacob Rome and Vinay Goyal. AIAA 2024-0628. AIAA SCITECH 2024 Forum. January 2024. <https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2024-0628>.
- “[Orbital Manufacturing Initiative](#),” Rome (SSDM).
- [LinkedIn Group](#).
- COSMIC Capstone Challenge, “Joey Heying and Jacob Rome,” ...

#### *Example projects*

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 16 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

- University of California San Diego: [Autonomous Rail Transportation Network for Spacecraft Servicing and Inspection](#), Lovekin, E., Kattee, A., D’Souza, R., Khan, E., AIAA SCITECH 2025 Forum. January 2025.
- Kennesaw St.: “[Conceptual Development Design Challenge of On-Orbit Autonomous Manufacturing Payloads](#),” Goyal, Vijay, AIAA SCITECH 2025 Forum. January 2025.
- California State University Northridge, “[Concept Development for Autonomous In-Space Additive Manufacturing, Inspection Using Thermography, and Robotic Manipulation](#),” William A. Sulprizio, Rogelio Reynoso, Ereik Flores, Noah Liu and Joshua Santiago. AIAA SCITECH 2025 Forum. January 2025.

### *Design review templates*

The first reference listed is **required reading**. The others are provided for students as guidelines for conducting reviews and planning the work. They are meant to be descriptive not prescriptive.

- “[Introduction to Conceptual Design](#),” Lee
- “[NASA System Requirements Review Template](#),” Benedict
- “[NASA System Concept Review Template](#),” Benedict
- “[EML2322L – Design Report Template](#),” University of Florida

### *Program Management*

- [NASA Research and Technology Program and Project Management Requirements](#) (Revalidated w/change 5) NPR 7120.8A
- [NASA Systems Engineering Processes and Requirements](#) Updated w/Change 2. NPR 7123.1D
- Videos and classes:
- Project Planning for Beginners: <https://youtu.be/ZWmXi3TW1yA?si=toydKiVnwEZH572Z>
- [Project Management Fundamentals from Coursera](#)

### *Other Design Competitions*

Links are provided to other competitions to spark ideas amongst students as they pursue their design projects. While most of the competitions focus on building prototypes, many have solid university-appropriate guidelines on how to progress through the design process.

- [AIAA Design/ Build/ Fly](#)
- [Formula SAE](#)
- [3D Printed Aircraft Competition](#)
- [American Solar Challenge](#)
- [Revolutionary Aerospace Systems Concept Academic Linkage \(RASC-AL\)](#)
- [Intelligent Ground Vehicle Competition](#)
- [NASA Student Launch Initiative](#)

## **10.2 References for Challenge Track 1 (C3-Manufacturing)**

### *Partial selection of technologies that would benefit on-orbit demonstration*

- Low SWaP Robotics
  - “[Very Low SWaP-C Robotics Using Autodynamic Flexible Circuits](#),” Fuller.

## Document Markings

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 17 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

- Ultrasound for non-contact NDE
  - “Laser ultrasonics for nondestructive testing of composite materials and structures: A review,” Alireza Zarei, Srikanth Pilla, Ultrasonics, 136, 2024, 107163, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2023.107163>.
- Thermography for non-contact NDE
  - “Application of NDT thermographic imaging of aerospace structures,” Shakeb Deane, Nicolas P. Avdelidis, Clemente Ibarra-Castanedo, Hai Zhang, Hamed Yazdani Nezhad, Alex A. Williamson, Tim Mackley, Maxwell J. Davis, Xavier Maldague, Antonios Tsourdos, Infrared Physics & Technology, Volume 97, 2019, 456-466, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infrared.2019.02.002>
- Snap-fit connectors for permanent or temporary structural joining techniques
  - “Design Guidelines for Additive Manufactured Snap-Fit Joints,” Procedia CIRP, Volume 50, 2016, Pages 264-269 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.04.130>
- Electro-permanent magnets
  - “Safe, Failure-Tolerant CubeSat Docking Using Passive Magnetic Mechanisms,” Kyle P. Doyle\* and Mason A. Peck. AIAA SciTech Forum 10.2514/6.2017-0614 9 - 13 January 2017. <https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/pdf/10.2514/6.2017-0614>.
- Wire embedding in a polymer matrix
  - “Ultrasonically Embedded Wires in Multi-Material Parts Produced by Hybrid Additive Manufacturing,” David A. Sepulveda-Navarrete, Patrick S. Gutierrez, Amit Lopes, Jacob I. Rome, Vinay K. Goyal, David Espalin, Additive Manufacturing, Volume 73, 2023, 103662, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2023.103662>.

### *Host Vehicle*

This vehicle was chosen to provide all teams with a common starting point. Other companies offer similar host capabilities, either as a satellite bus or a persistent platform.

- Links about Arkisys, The Port and Bosuns Locker links will be added
- This will include CAD of the Bosuns Locker and Interface Control Document (ICD)

### **10.3 References for C3-Lunar**

### **10.4 References for C3-Servicing**

### **10.5 References for C3-Assembly**

References for the other tracks will be added for the final release.

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 18 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

## 11. Vision For the Future of the COSMIC Capstone Challenge

The genesis of C3 was the ISAM Design Challenge starting in Fall 2022, a senior design project developed by Aerospace to harness the creativity of college students while introducing them to the emerging ISAM field. It evolved during the past two years, and with the creation of C3, the structure has become better defined and formalized. The design challenge has been crafted with input from industry mentors, government customers, capstone professors, competition organizers, competition judges and student participants. During the second year of C3, the focus is expanding the program successfully and maintaining the high level of mentor-team engagement. With the expansion to 4 tracks, each with its own champion, C3 is being developed to sustain and grow in the future.

To that end, C3 has been developed to ease its adoption within the construct of a **2-semester senior engineering design class**, often referred to as a Capstone project. However, **it is not restricted to that category**. Instead, it is being opened wider, so that student clubs could enter the challenge, as could groups of students, either undergraduate or graduate, pursuing independent or directed study. The best outcome of these projects will be an excited core of students that want to continue the project past the conceptual design phase to detailed design work or even prototyping.

There is a strategic component to casting it as a judged competition with mentoring. To be precise, the judges and mentors will often be drawn from elements of the government and industry that are interested in pursuing these projects. **The successful version of this program would connect student teams with funding sources to continue the work towards an eventual mission**, executed either by a successor team at the university or by contractor capable of executing the designated mission. This is an element that could play well with student clubs, which typically have carryover from year-to-year. It is possible that a future iteration of C3 will be to bring a promising Conceptual Designs to the Preliminary Design phase, in which case student clubs would be able to leverage that continuity to continue.

For the coming academic year, the competition remains focused on the engineering part of the problem. In future iterations, the **scope may be widened to encourage non-engineering students with an interest in ISAM to participate**. This could be done through the problem statement, through the judging rubric, or via additional categories. For example, up to 10 points could be awarded for developing a business plan to identify how the project would go from Conceptual Design through launch by identifying funding sources, launch opportunities, and long-term project management. A similar approach could take place for artwork. Or there could be a separate award for the team that creates the best 1-page marketing pitch to potential sponsors.

**C3 is very much a work in progress**. The development of the program in the future will be guided by mentors, judges, other members of the COSMIC community, students, professors, and supporters. The community will develop Future C3 Information Packets, and it is the intent of COSMIC to appeal to a broad swath of students as we work to advance ISAM while exposing students to the possibilities of ISAM.

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 19 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

## 12. Administrative Items

- COSMIC has no rights to any intellectual property (IP) generated during this project, with a limited permission to share the final products as described in the next bullet.
- Entrants grant the COSMIC Capstone Challenge (C3) organizers a non-exclusive right to:
  - Post online the outbriefs from the competition online to share them with the COSMIC membership and (past, current, and future) participants in the COSMIC Capstone Challenge
  - To allow C3 organizers to incorporate elements into written publications, briefings and marketing materials that would be available to the public.
- There is no guarantee of monetary prizes being awarded. If there are any prizes awarded by C3 sponsors, the prize is the sole responsibility of the sponsors.
- All C3 judges and mentors must be authorized participants from a COSMIC member organization; COSMIC is no cost to join, and open to any US organization.
- Any authorized participants from a COSMIC member organization may serve as a mentor or a judge but with few exceptions cannot serve as both a mentor and a judge. Mentors may serve that role for multiple teams. C3 organizers may fulfill either judge or mentor roles.
- If C3 is used as a Capstone, scoring criteria used in this solicitation is not required to grade students. It is up to the discretion of the professor to select class grading material. Likewise, mentor feedback should not have direct impact on student grades.
- There is a strict no-harassment policy.
- All participants (entrants, judges, and mentors) must abide by ITAR rules. Mentors must not provide any information to any team that is ITAR controlled, and student entries must not include any ITAR restricted materials.
- While entrants must be enrolled at a US-based educational institution, there is no citizenship requirement for any student members of participating teams or their advisors.
- COSMIC membership is not required for teams or their associated educational institutions to enter.

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 20 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

### 13. COSMIC Capstone Challenge 2024

Design a payload, to be hosted about the BCT X-Sat Venus Class bus, which will demonstrate a chain of 3 or more operations that provide an on-orbit, autonomous ISAM capability.

*Scoring Sheet of Competition Judging*

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Team Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

Team Members: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_



<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 21 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

<b>Category 1: Conceptual Design</b>			
Subcategory	Description	Score Range	Score (½ OK)
<b>1.1 Technical Impact of Demonstrated Capability</b>	If the mission is successfully executed, how much impact would those capabilities have for future space missions? Consider the following 3 questions: Would it enable new or improved types of spacecrafts? Could it be used to augment or repair existing satellites? Would other technology developments be required for this capability to be useful?	0-8	
<b>1.2 Feasibility of Proposed Mission</b>	How likely is it that this mission (if funded) could be designed & built within 5 years? Evaluation of these items should be considered when scoring this category: the bill of materials, current CAD drawings, plans to develop technology as needed, approach for manufacturing & testing.	0-8	
<b>1.3 Innovation</b>	Is the design original in a pertinent way? Considerations for this category: Has this been demonstrated in space before? Has it ever been proposed? Has it been executed in a similar fashion? Is it uniquely suited for its proposed function?	0-7	
<b>1.4 Completion of Required Elements</b>	Is there analysis to show that the design conforms to requirements & perform per requirements? <b>Five elements to consider for Tracks 1-3:</b> Will it fit within the assigned volume, staying within mass and power requirements? Will the proposed bus support the operations; if not has the team identified potential solutions? Does the design consider the launch environment? Does the design demonstrate a useful capability by combining/ sequencing 3+ technologies? Is the appropriate type of analysis performed? <b>For Track 4, elements to consider include:</b> Does it specify the existing robotics systems it would use and how it would use them? How does it leverage the referenced supply chain & logistics? Has the team evaluated how the assembled structure would perform in its environment?	0-15	
<b>1.5 Identify &amp; mitigate risks</b>	Has the team identified likely points of failure and identified potential solutions?	0-4	
<b>1.6 Path to PDR</b>	Has team identified next steps for design process & outlined a sequenced to advance to Preliminary Design Review?	0-4	
<b>1.7 Trade Studies</b>	Has the team highlighted trade studies to illustrate the design development & selection process?	0-4	
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>Conceptual Design</b>	<b>0-50</b>	

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 22 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

For the Concept of Operations and Lessons Learned categories, teams should clearly identify within their briefings where these elements are included. For example, the subcategory name could be the title of the slide, with the requested item(s) below. These slides should remain on display long enough for judges to comprehend and evaluate.

<b>Category 2: Systems Considerations</b>			
<b>Subcategory</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Score Range</b>	<b>Score (½ OK)</b>
<b>2.1 Animate key operating sequence</b>	Utilizing CAD designs, a prototype, or other tools, develop an animation or video to show step by step processes required to execute concept design.	0-7	
<b>2.2 Storyboard of complete operation</b>	At each phase of a mission (from launch until deorbit), illustrate and describe the varying configurations and operations the concept will progress through over the mission's duration.	0-7	
<b>2.3 Data handling &amp; comms</b>	Develop a high-level overview/flowchart of data management and ground communications needs	0-3	
<b>2.4 Program Management Milestones</b>	Did the team provide evidence that they hit the key milestones: Selecting a program manager, selecting operations, presenting SRR, completing trade studies, presenting CoDR, develop path to PDR?	0-4	
<b>2.5 Advancing High-Value Missions</b>	Do the students connect their proposed demonstration mission to the high-value missions identified by COSMIC? How much confidence would a successful demo mission advance the enterprise towards executing those high-value missions?	0-5	
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>Concept of Operations</b>	<b>0-26</b>	

<b>Category 3: Lessons Learned</b>			
<b>Subcategory</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Score Range</b>	<b>Score (½ OK)</b>
<b>3.1 Innovative concepts</b>	What are the three most innovative ideas you had? Even if they weren't pursued.	0-3	
<b>3.2 Tech. gap assessment</b>	Identify 3 technologies as most important to develop for this effort.	0-3	
<b>3.3 Biggest challenges</b>	The three biggest challenges with the design process, even if you avoided them.	0-3	
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>Lessons Learned</b>	<b>0-9</b>	

# Document Markings

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 23 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

## Category 4: Presentation and Paper

Subcategory	Description	Score Range	Score (½ OK)
<b>4.1 Presentation</b>	Clarity, professionalism, and persuasiveness of the presentation, including visual aids and verbal communication. Consider these 5 key elements: Chart construction, verbal explanations, cohesiveness, proper use of white space, handling of questions.	0-10	
<b>4.2 Paper</b>	A paper ten-to-twenty pages long which would be suitable for inclusion in a technical conference. Consider elements such as length, organization, technical content, writing, and bibliography	0-5	
<b>Category Total</b>	Presentation and Paper	0-15	

## Category 5: Prototype (Optional/ Required for Track 2)

Subcategory	Description	Score Range	Score (½ OK)
<b>5.1 Includes key elements</b>	Does the prototype include the elements that are essential to the mission? It is more important to include the elements which are novel than those which have flight heritage.	0-7	
<b>5.2 Function</b>	Does the prototype function? If there is a mechanism, does that work? If there is an operational step in the demo mission, is that included?	0-6	
<b>5.3 Completeness</b>	How much of the design is included? The more elements that are included the more useful the prototype is	0-6	
<b>5.4 Utility</b>	How can the prototype be used in the next stages of design? Does it serve as a communications tool? A mass/ volume simulator? Could it be used for developmental testing?	0-6	
<b>Category Total</b>	Prototype	0-25	

# Document Markings

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 24 of 29
<b>Title: 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet</b>	

Summary			
Category	Description	Score Range	Score (½ OK)
1.	Conceptual Design	0-50	
2.	Concept of Operations	0-26	
3.	Lessons Learned	0-9	
4.	Presentation and Paper	0-15	
Grand Total	COSMIC Capstone Challenge	0-100	
Opt. Prototype	If the team opted to create a functional prototype, provide a score based upon its function and performance	0-25	

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_

## Document Markings

<b>Revision:</b> Baseline	<b>Document No:</b> COSMIC-E01-WD001-20xx-x
<b>Release Date:</b> 01/28/2025	<b>Page:</b> 25 of 29
<b>Title:</b> 2024 COSMIC Capstone Challenge – Information Packet	

## 14. Frequently Asked Questions & Updates